

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,653	06/29/2001	Alan Chris Berkema	10016783-1 9773	
75	90 05/28/2004		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			WALLERSON, MARK E	
Intellectual Proj	perty Administration		<u></u>	<u>, </u>
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2626	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/29/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		n)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/897,653	BERKEMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Mark E. Wallerson	2626
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Extended 	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

Art Unit: 2626

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-33 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The references listed in the Information Disclosure Statement dated <u>4/9/04</u> have been considered by the Examiner and is attached to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lamming et al (Lamming) (U.S. 5,862,321).

With respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 31, 32, and 33, Lamming discloses a print reference method executable by a portable wireless device (16) comprising obtaining a reference to print content stored at a location indicated by the reference (column 9, line 10 to column 10, line 4), and wirelessly communicating the reference to another device to initiate a print by reference of the print content (column 9, line 10 to column 10, line 4).

Art Unit: 2626

With regard to claims 5 and 20 Lamming discloses the reference comprises a URL (column 5, lines 12-16).

With respect to claims 7, 8, 9, and 10, Lamming discloses a security code (column 10, lines 56-58).

With regard to claim 11, Lamming discloses providing security data to the other device (column 10, lines 4-13).

With respect to claims 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18, Lamming discloses discovering the other device and transmitting and receiving status messages from the device (column 10, line 51 to column 11, line 32).

With regard to claim 27, Lamming discloses being able to select another device (column 9, lines 10-27).

With regard to claim 28, Lamming discloses adding information to the reference (column 7, lines 52-64).

With respect to claim 29, Lamming discloses identifying a location of the device (column 10, lines 14-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2626

6. Claims 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamming in view of Wolff (U.S. 5,848,413).

With respect to claim 2, Lamming differs from claim 2 in that he does not clearly disclose the reference specifies billing information. Wolff discloses a method for accessing and publishing electronic documents wherein the information includes billing information (column 10, lines 17-24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Lamming wherein the reference specifies billing information. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Lamming by the teaching of Wolff in order to give the user a greater range of information to retrieve.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamming in view of Nachtsheim et al (U.S. 6,448,906).

With respect to claim 25, Lamming differs from claim 25 in that he does not clearly disclose communicating the reference in Bluetooth format. Nachtsheim discloses a wireless communication method wherein bluetooth methods are used for communication. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Lamming wherein the reference is communicated in Bluetooth format. It would have

Art Unit: 2626

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Lamming by the teaching of Nachtsheim in order to improve the communication process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark E. Wallerson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday - 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4863. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark E. Wallerson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2626